

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re: Seroquel XR (Extended Release Quetiapine Fumarate) Antitrust Litigation
This Document Relates To: All End-Payor Class Actions

Master Dkt. No. 20-1076-CFC

**DECLARATION OF BENJAMIN M. GREENBLUM IN SUPPORT OF  
DEFENDANTS' REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO  
EXCLUDE THE MANUFACTURING AND COMMERCIAL LAUNCH  
OPINIONS OF JANET K. DeLEON  
(DAUBERT MOTION NO. 5)**

I, Benjamin M. Greenblum, declare and state as follows:

1. I am a partner at the law firm of Williams & Connolly LLP. I represent AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP and AstraZeneca UK Ltd. in the above-captioned matter. I am admitted *pro hac vice* in this Court. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called as a witness, could and would testify to them.

2. Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of excerpts of the transcript of the March 1, 2024 deposition of Janet K. DeLeon.

Dated: October 7, 2024

By: /s/ Benjamin M. Greenblum

Benjamin M. Greenblum

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP

680 Maine Ave. SW

Washington, D.C. 20024

(202) 434-5919

bgreenblum@wc.com

# EXHIBIT 4

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE: SEROQUEL XR )  
(Extended Release ) Master Docket No.  
Quetiapine Fumarate) 20-1076-CFC  
Antitrust Litigation )

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JANET K. DeLEON,  
produced, sworn and examined on March 1, 2024,  
between the hours of 9:00 o'clock in the morning  
and 5:00 o'clock in the afternoon of that day,  
taken at the law offices of Sanders Warren &  
Russell, LLP, 11225 College Boulevard, Suite  
450, Overland Park, Kansas, before Stacy L.  
Decker, a Certified Court Reporter (MO),  
Certified Shorthand Reporter (KS) in a certain  
cause now pending before the United States  
District Court for the District of Delaware, IN  
RE: Seroquel XR (Extended Release Quetiapine  
Fumarate) Antitrust Litigation; taken on behalf  
of the Defendants.

GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES  
877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax  
Deps@golkow.com

1 applications as ANDAs today?

2 A. Yes, that would be fine.

3 Q. Ms. DeLeon, you are not, however,  
4 an expert in the physical properties of  
5 hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose, correct?

6 A. I am not, no.

7 Q. You are also not an expert in the  
8 physical properties of methyl cellulose,  
9 correct?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. You are not an expert in the  
12 gelation properties of hydrogenated vegetable  
13 oil, correct?

14 A. I am not, no.

15 Q. And you are not an expert in  
16 selecting which excipients to include in a  
17 pharmaceutical formulation, correct?

18 A. Correct. That's not part of my  
19 assignment today.

20 Q. That's what I figured and I just  
21 want to make sure I understand the full scope of  
22 your assignment.

23 You're also not an expert in the  
24 design of pharmaceutical formulations, correct?

25 A. I have developed many formulations

1 and gained approval for those products over the  
2 years, but my true expertise is in regulatory.

3 Q. Ms. DeLeon, you are not an expert  
4 in the design of the milling process that  
5 creates the particles of an active  
6 pharmaceutical ingredient, correct?

7 A. No, I'm not an expert in that.

8 Q. And you are not an expert in  
9 troubleshooting the curing processes for an  
10 extended release tablet, correct?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. So you are not an expert in the  
13 manufacture of the pharmaceutical formulation as  
14 opposed to the regulatory approval of the  
15 pharmaceutical formulation, correct?

16 A. I would not say that I'm an expert  
17 in that. However, I've sat on many  
18 cross-functional teams for over 36 years, and so  
19 I'm very familiar with it. Of course, I'm not a  
20 pharmacist and never went through the formal  
21 training that pharmacists do in order to become  
22 a formulator.

23 Q. Ms. DeLeon, you are not an expert  
24 in providing comprehensive oversight for a  
25 multiple line pharmaceutical manufacturing

1 facility, correct?

2 A. I have never been the management  
3 of one of those facilities, no. But, again,  
4 I've worked on many cross-functional teams that  
5 employ exactly that. So I'm very familiar with  
6 it.

7 Q. Ms. DeLeon, are you an expert in  
8 the negotiation of raw materials supply  
9 contracts?

10 A. I'm not a purchaser is what we  
11 call it. The purchasing department does that.  
12 But, again, I'm very familiar with the process.  
13 I've been on multiple cross-functional teams  
14 that employ that. I myself have -- when I was  
15 at Cypress Pharmaceutical, I participated in  
16 purchasing those excipients and raw materials in  
17 general as well as the components that go into  
18 drug products. So I'm very familiar with it,  
19 but it's -- that -- that is mainly my expertise.

20 Q. It was not your day job; it was  
21 something your colleagues did that you heard  
22 about; is that fair?

23 A. Exactly, yes. I would participate  
24 on a team and we would discuss it regularly.

25 (Exhibit 360 was marked.)

1 Q. (By Mr. Fletcher) I'm now marking  
2 as Exhibit 360 a document. Ms. DeLeon, what is  
3 Exhibit 360?

4 A. It's my resume.

5 Q. Do you recognize it?

6 A. I do.

7 Q. Are there any changes to this  
8 resume since it was submitted as an exhibit to  
9 your opening expert report?

10 A. No. No changes, no.

11 Q. On the first page of the resume,  
12 you refer to your role as the chief executive  
13 officer of Jandel Pharmaceuticals. Do you see  
14 that?

15 A. I do.

16 Q. Has Jandel Pharmaceuticals ever  
17 marketed a product?

18 A. It has not.

19 Q. Has Jandel Pharmaceuticals ever  
20 sought regulatory approval for a product?

21 A. No, it has not. Jandel is a  
22 start-up company that we have looked into  
23 several different products to acquire or to  
24 develop and haven't quite gotten to the point  
25 where we've actually gotten a product on hand,



1 so it still remains a start-up company.

2 Q. A start-up where you are looking  
3 to in-license a drug to develop; is that  
4 correct?

5 A. Possibly. Currently we're looking  
6 at ACell therapy, that is with a university, and  
7 we're -- we've got terms in place, but we're  
8 looking for investors.

9 Q. Is the name Jandel Pharmaceuticals  
10 just a truncation of Janet DeLeon?

11 A. It is, yes.

12 Q. How many employees does Jandel  
13 Pharmaceuticals have?

14 A. Two at this point.

15 Q. And who are the employees?

16 A. Myself and Rob Lewis.

17 Q. And what is Rob Lewis' role?

18 A. He is the co-founder. He is an  
19 expert at developing products and companies. He  
20 is very familiar with the financial end of the  
21 business. He was my boss when I was at Cypress  
22 Pharmaceutical. So we've worked together many  
23 years. Seven years at Cypress, as well as the  
24 past ten years he and I have both run our  
25 consulting companies and have interacted on

1 multiple products. We're very familiar with  
2 each other.

3 Q. Did Jandel Pharmaceuticals have  
4 any revenue in 2023?

5 A. It did not, no.

6 Q. Has Jandel Pharmaceuticals ever  
7 had any revenue?

8 A. No. We're actually at a negative  
9 right now.

10 Q. Staying on the first page of your  
11 resume, Exhibit 360, in the bullets under the  
12 highlights you indicate that you have been  
13 responsible for approval of 12 NDAs and ANDAs in  
14 six and a half years. Do you see that?

15 A. I do.

16 Q. How many of those 12 were ANDAs to  
17 the best of your memory?

18 A. I believe it was nine of them.

19 Q. And so three were NDAs to the best  
20 of your memory?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. The distinction between an NDA and  
23 an ANDA is an NDA is a new drug application,  
24 whereas, the ANDA is the abbreviated new drug  
25 application, correct?

1 Q. In your answer there you're  
2 referring to the active pharmaceutical  
3 ingredient; is that correct?

4 A. It is, yes, otherwise called API  
5 or drug substance.

6 Q. Hetero did not make the actual  
7 tablets; it provided the API to Accord for  
8 Accord to make into tablets; is that fair?

9 A. That is fair, yes.

10 Q. So when you say Hetero could have  
11 supplied the XR product, too, you mean Hetero  
12 could have provided the quetiapine fumarate for  
13 the XR product?

14 A. Yes. Thank you for that  
15 correction, yes.

16 Q. You also -- have you analyzed the  
17 Accord manufacturing process for the extended  
18 release tablets?

19 A. Not in particular, no. But I am  
20 familiar with other XR products.

21 Q. From your prior work experience?

22 A. Exactly, yes.

23 Q. Have you studied the manufacturing  
24 process for any XR product specific to this  
25 case?

1                   A.     Not the specific procedure, no.  
2     No.

3                   Q.     You mentioned that both the IR and  
4     XR tablets are extremely similar. Did I get  
5     that correct?

6                   A.     I did, uh-huh.

7                   Q.     They both use the exact same  
8     active pharmaceutical ingredient; is that right?

9                   A.     That's right.

10                  Q.     And in the case of Accord, the  
11     active pharmaceutical ingredient for both the  
12     Seroquel IR tablets and Seroquel XR tablets even  
13     came from the same supplier; is that correct?

14                  A.     That's correct as I understand it,  
15     yes.

16                  (Exhibit 361 was marked.)

17                  Q.     (By Mr. Fletcher) Ms. DeLeon,  
18     I'll hand you what I've marked as Exhibit 361.

19                  A.     Okay.

20                  Q.     Ms. DeLeon, what is Exhibit 361?

21                  A.     It's a list of documents that I  
22     relied on for my report.

23                  Q.     And that is, in fact, the title of  
24     the document is "List of Documents Relied Upon";  
25     is that right?

1 Q. But you were not asked to,  
2 correct?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. Ms. DeLeon, how did you go about  
5 preparing your report, Exhibit 359?

6 MS. HASS: Objection. Ms. DeLeon, I  
7 just want to caution you to not reveal any  
8 attorney communications that you didn't rely on  
9 like your assignment and anything about the  
10 drafting process, which is privileged and  
11 confidential.

12 THE DEPONENT: Okay.

13 A. So I was provided an assignment,  
14 which I stated earlier was the impediments to  
15 regulatory approval or impediments to launching  
16 the XR generic product for Accord. And so I  
17 requested documents that would support or negate  
18 that. Either way, I analyzed anything that was  
19 available and put together this report based on  
20 the findings that I saw in the documentation.

21 Q. (By Mr. Fletcher) Ms. DeLeon, do  
22 you have an estimate for how many hours you  
23 spent preparing this report?

24 A. I do not, no. I'm sorry.

25 Q. Did you review the report for

1 accuracy before you signed it?

2 A. Of course.

3 Q. Did you proofread the report?

4 A. I definitely did, which I'm glad  
5 you brought that up. I did find one error that  
6 I'd like to bring up.

7 Q. Sure.

8 A. It's actually on Paragraph 39.

9 Q. Okay.

10 A. I apologize that I didn't bring  
11 this up earlier.

12 Q. What would you like to correct in  
13 Paragraph 39?

14 A. Let me make sure that it is 39 to  
15 begin with. It would be on the third line. And  
16 it says, "indicating that an ANDA holder does  
17 seek approval of its generic product." And it  
18 should be "does not." Okay. I missed the word  
19 "not" in that. Sorry.

20 Q. That's okay. I think we  
21 understood what you were trying to say. But  
22 I've made the note for myself, Paragraph 39,  
23 third line, ANDA holder does not seek approval.

24 A. For Paragraph 3, yes.

25 Q. Okay. And you noticed this as

1 part of your preparation for today's deposition?

2 A. I did, yes.

3 Q. So you've reviewed this report for  
4 accuracy when you signed it and again as part of  
5 your preparation for this deposition, correct?

6 A. Correct, yes.

7 Q. At least twice then?

8 A. At least, yes.

9 Q. Or were there more than two  
10 reviews for accuracy?

11 A. Yes. Yes.

12 Q. Can you describe your process for  
13 making sure that the report accurately reflects  
14 your views, like how many times?

15 A. How many times I reviewed again  
16 or --

17 Q. Or how did you make sure that it  
18 reflected your views accurately?

19 A. Well, I would -- I read through  
20 the report. I checked all of the references in  
21 there. I also relied on my historical knowledge  
22 over 36 years, experiences that I've had in the  
23 industry, working for small, medium, and large  
24 companies. I put my wealth of experience into  
25 it. But I also looked at the documentation that

1 was provided. I also looked at some other  
2 documents that were not referenced in the report  
3 just to make sure I didn't miss something.

4 Q. Like the guidance, is that what  
5 you're referring to?

6 A. Which guidance?

7 Q. Sorry. When you say you looked at  
8 other documents not referenced in the report,  
9 are you referring to those FDA guidance, ICH  
10 concepts that are just --

11 A. Yes, exactly.

12 Q. Anything else?

13 A. No. It would be those, those  
14 guidances.

15 Q. So when you cited a document or a  
16 reference, you checked to make sure it said what  
17 you were characterizing it as in your report; is  
18 that fair?

19 A. Yes, I did.

20 Q. So I'd like to go back to the very  
21 first page of the report to just understand  
22 something.

23 A. Okay.

24 Q. Very second -- second paragraph,  
25 heading two. Ms. DeLeon, what does heading two



1 refer to?

2 A. It says jurisdiction and venue.

3 Q. What is jurisdiction?

4 A. The parameters which I am working  
5 within.

6 Q. Okay. And what is venue?

7 A. Again, I believe it's a legal  
8 term, but it's -- it's the part that I'm working  
9 within.

10 Q. So what follows in paragraphs 2  
11 through 17 is your professional background,  
12 right?

13 A. Yes, it is. Uh-huh.

14 Q. And you described your  
15 professional background as your jurisdiction and  
16 venue; is that right?

17 A. That's what it is, yes.

18 Q. That's not a typo, right?

19 MS. HASS: Objection, asked and  
20 answered.

21 A. Yeah, it -- this is -- this is  
22 what I've written.

23 Q. (By Mr. Fletcher) Okay. Just we  
24 were correcting typos before. We corrected  
25 Paragraph 39. We don't need to correct heading

1 two, though, correct?

2 A. I don't believe so. I'm not a  
3 lawyer. Legal terms sometimes are misused by  
4 me, I'll admit, but I believe that these are  
5 correct.

6 Q. So only one typo and it's in  
7 Paragraph 39?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. Paragraph 62, if you could  
10 please go to that paragraph again.

11 A. 62?

12 Q. Yes. Paragraph 62, you refer to  
13 NDA number 022047. Do you see that?

14 A. I do.

15 Q. And what was NDA 022047?

16 A. It was the branded version from  
17 AstraZeneca for the Seroquel XR.

18 Q. And pursuant to NDA number 022047,  
19 FDA gave approval to AstraZeneca to sell the 50,  
20 150, 200, 300, and 400 milligram strengths,  
21 correct?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. And in Paragraph 63 you describe  
24 Accord's ANDA, correct?

25 A. Yes, I do.

1 Q. How is a capsule different from a  
2 tablet?

3 MS. HASS: Objection. Outside the  
4 scope of her report.

5 A. It's not something I was asked to  
6 opine on.

7 Q. (By Mr. Fletcher) In your  
8 experience is a capsule different from a tablet?

9 MS. HASS: Same objection.

10 A. So I wasn't asked to opine on  
11 that.

12 Q. (By Mr. Fletcher) Okay. The flow  
13 chart reflects that capsules go through an  
14 encapsulation process, correct?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

19 MS. HASS: Same objection. Outside  
20 the scope of her report.

21 A. I wasn't asked to opine on that.

22 Q. (By Mr. Fletcher) Okay. You  
23 analyzed this document as part of your report,  
24 correct?

25 A. This document, yes, in general.

1 Q. As part of your understanding  
2 about impediments to launch, correct?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. The third column such as it is on  
5 slide 63481 refers to pellets, correct?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. What is a pellet?

8 A. A pellet is typically something  
9 that goes into a capsule.

10 Q. The fourth column refers to  
11 effervescent tablets. Do you see that?

12 A. I do.

13 Q. What is an effervescent tablet?

14 MS. HASS: Objection. Outside the  
15 scope of her report.

16 A. I wasn't asked to opine on that.

17 Q. (By Mr. Fletcher) Have you ever  
18 worked on an effervescent tablet before?

19 A. I have not, no.

20 Q. Do you think Alka-Seltzer is an  
21 effervescent tablet?

22 A. It is.

23 MS. HASS: Objection.

24 Q. (By Mr. Fletcher) So you have  
25 some familiarity with effervescent tablets?

1 A. Some, yes.

2 Q. Column five, what is a narrow  
3 therapeutic tab?

4 MS. HASS: Same objection. Outside  
5 the scope of her report.

6 A. I wasn't asked to opine on that.

7 Q. (By Mr. Fletcher) Do you know  
8 what that is?

9 A. In general.

10 Q. What -- in general what does it  
11 mean?

12 MS. HASS: Same objection.

13 A. It's a product that has a vast  
14 difference in biologic profile.

15 Q. (By Mr. Fletcher) If we turn to  
16 the next slide, we have -- the slide is entitled  
17 "Oral Solids Capacities," correct?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And the first column is dosage  
20 form; is that right?

21 A. It is.

22 Q. And the second column is annual  
23 capacity, correct?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And as you understand this table,

the annual capacity column refers back to the corresponding dosage form in the column on the left, correct?

A. I would assume so since it's all within the same section of the slide deck.

Q.

MS. HASS: Objection to form.

A. So that's what is here on the document. I'm not exactly sure if this is accurate or not, as with the other ones. All I can do is read what's here on the page.

1 Q. (By Mr. Fletcher) Okay. What  
2 would you need to know to confirm whether this  
3 is an accurate annual capacity? How would you  
4 do that?

5 A. Typically I would ask the people  
6 who run the manufacturing facility what their  
7 capacity is as of today. This document -- I  
8 don't know the date on it. It could have been  
9 five years old at the time.

10 Q. Okay. As you understand this  
11 document, which was the second document listed  
12 in your materials relied upon, [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED], correct?

15 A. I see that.

16 Q. And that's the correct way to read  
17 this document?

18 A. I believe so. I -- I've never  
19 worked with INTAS, so I don't know their exact  
20 meaning. But from an outsider perspective, I  
21 think that would be something that could be  
22 concluded.

23 Q. So now if we can keep that open,  
24 but if we can turn back to your report,  
25 paragraph 156. This is a document that you

1 cited in your analysis in paragraph 156,  
2 correct?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And specifically this slide that  
5 we were just looking at is what you cited in  
6 support of your footnote 176, correct?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And in your analysis you concluded  
9 that [REDACTED]

10 [REDACTED]. Do you see that?

11 A. I do see that.

12 Q. Would it be more fair to say that

13 [REDACTED]  
14 [REDACTED]?

15 A. So according to this document  
16 here, that's what it says, yes.

17 Q. Okay. This document that you've  
18 cited does not separately identify the annual  
19 capacity at [REDACTED] for making tablets, correct?

20 A. Correct.

21 Q. And do you know what [REDACTED]  
22 annual capacity was for making tablets?

23 A. Off the top of my head, no. I had  
24 to rely on this document.

25 Q. Okay. And you're not aware of any



1 document other than this slide 482 that informs  
2 the annual capacity for tablets at [REDACTED],  
3 correct?

4 A. This is the document that I  
5 referenced.

6 Q. So sitting here today -- well, I  
7 think we can move on.

8 In paragraph 155, you describe  
9 Accord as one of the fastest growing U.S.  
10 generic companies; is that correct?

11 A. So here it says, "In early 2015  
12 INTAS, Accord's Indian parent company, described  
13 itself," excuse me, "as one of the fastest  
14 growing Indian pharmaceutical companies."

15 Q. And, sorry, I was on the prior  
16 sentence.

17 A. Yes. Okay. It does say Accord  
18 was one of the fastest growing U.S. generic  
19 companies.

20 Q. How does that statement factor  
21 into your analysis?

22 A. It factors into my analysis  
23 because I have worked with similar companies  
24 that were trying very hard to grow fast. I've  
25 worked with small, medium, and large companies

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned counsel hereby certifies that true and correct copies of the foregoing document were caused to be served on October 7, 2024 on the following counsel in the manner indicated below.

Carmella P. Keener  
COOCH AND TAYLOR, P.A.  
The Brandywine Building  
1000 N. West Street, Suite 1500  
P.O. Box 1680  
Wilmington, DE 19899-1680  
302-984-3816  
ckeener@coochtaylor.com

*Local Counsel for Smith Drug Company and the Direct Purchaser Class*

Bruce E. Gerstein  
Kimberly Hennings  
Jonathan Gerstein  
David B. Rochelson  
GARWIN GERSTEIN &  
FISHER LLP  
88 Pine Street, 10th Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
Tel: (212) 398-0055  
bgerstein@garwingerstein.com  
khennings@garwingerstein.com  
jgerstein@garwingerstein.com  
drochelson@garwingerstein.com

*Co-Lead Counsel for the Direct Purchaser Class and Counsel for Smith Drug Company*

David F. Sorensen  
Caitlin G. Coslett  
Andrew C. Curley  
Julia R. McGrath  
BERGER MONTAGUE PC  
1818 Market Street, Suite 3600  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
Tel: (215) 875-3000  
dsorensen@bm.net  
ccoslett@bm.net  
acurley@bm.net  
jmcgrath@bm.net

*Co-Lead Counsel for the Direct Purchaser Class and Counsel for Smith Drug Company*

Susan Segura  
David C. Raphael  
Erin R. Leger  
SMITH SEGURA RAPHAEL & LEGER LLP  
221 Ansley Blvd  
Alexandria, LA 71303  
Tel: (318) 445-4480  
ssegura@ssrllp.com  
draphael@ssrllp.com  
eleger@ssrllp.com

*Additional Counsel for Smith Drug Company and the Proposed Direct Purchaser Class*

Peter Kohn  
Joseph Lukens  
Kristyn Fields  
FARUQI & FARUQI LLP  
1617 JFK Blvd, Suite 1550  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
Tel: (215) 277-5770  
pkohn@faruqilaw.com  
jlukens@faruqilaw.com  
kfields@faruqilaw.com  
FARUQI & FARUQI LLP  
685 Third Avenue, Floor 26  
New York, NY 10017  
(212) 983-9330

Stuart E. Des Roches  
Andrew W. Kelly  
ODOM & DES ROCHES LLC  
650 Poydras Street, Suite 2020  
New Orleans, LA 70130  
Tel: (504) 522-0077  
stuart@odrlaw.com  
akelly@odrlaw.com

Russell Chorush  
Christopher M. First  
HEIM PAYNE & CHORUSH LLP  
1111 Bagby Street, Suite 2100  
Houston, TX 77002  
Tel: (713) 221-2000  
rchorush@hpcllp.com

*Additional Counsel for Smith Drug Company and the Proposed Direct Purchaser Class*

Dianne M. Nast  
Joseph N. Roda  
Michael D. Ford  
NASTLAW LLC  
1101 Market Street, Suite 2801  
Philadelphia, PA 19107  
Telephone: (215) 923-9300  
Fax: (215) 923-9302  
dnast@nastlaw.com  
jnroda@nastlaw.com  
mford@nastlaw.com

*Counsel for KPH Healthcare Services, Inc. a/k/a Kinney Drugs, Inc. and the Proposed Direct Purchaser Class*

Michael L. Roberts  
Karen S. Halbert  
Stephanie E. Smith  
Sarah E. DeLoach  
ROBERTS LAW FIRM US, PC  
20 Rahling Circle  
Little Rock, AR 72223  
Telephone: (501) 821-5575  
Fax: (501) 821-4474  
mikeroberts@robertslawfirm.us  
karenhalbert@robertslawfirm.us  
stephaniesmith@robertslawfirm.us  
sarahdeloach@robertslawfirm.us

*Counsel for KPH Healthcare Services, Inc. a/k/a Kinney Drugs, Inc. and the Proposed Direct Purchaser Class*

Michael J. Barry  
Laina M. Herbert  
GRANT & EISENHOFER P.A.  
123 Justison Street, Suite 601  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
Phone: 302-622-7000  
mbarry@gelaw.com  
lherbert@gelaw.com

*Counsel for Plaintiff Law Enforcement Health Benefit and the End-Payor Class  
Interim Co-Lead Counsel*

Jayne A. Goldstein  
Natalie Finkelman Bennett  
MILLER & SHAH, LLP  
1845 Walnut Street, Suite 806  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
Telephone: (610) 891-9880  
Facsimile: (866) 300-7367  
jgoldstein@sfmslaw.com  
nfinkelman@sfmslaw.com

*Counsel for Plaintiff Fraternal Order of Police, Miami Lodge 20, Insurance  
Trust Fund and the End-Payor Class Interim Co-Lead Counsel*

Robert G. Eisler  
Chad B. Holtzman  
GRANT & EISENHOFER P.A.  
485 Lexington Avenue, 29th Floor  
New York, NY  
Telephone: (646) 722-8500  
Facsimile: (646) 722-8501  
reisler@gelaw.com  
choltzman@gelaw.com

Sharon K. Robertson  
Donna M. Evans  
COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS  
& TOLL PLLC  
88 Pine Street, 14th Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
Telephone: (212) 838-7797  
Facsimile: (212) 838-7745  
srobertson@cohenmilstein.com  
devans@cohenmilstein.com

*Counsel for the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore and the End-Payor Class  
Interim Co-Lead Counsel*

J. Clayton Athey  
Jason W. Rigby  
PRICKETT JONES & ELLIOTT, P.A.  
1310 N. King Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
(302) 888-6500  
jcathey@prickett.com  
jwrigby@prickett.com

*Counsel for Plaintiffs Walgreen Co., The Kroger Co., Albertsons Companies, Inc.,  
H-E-B, L.P., Hy-Vee, Inc., CVS Pharmacy, Inc., Rite Aid Corp. and Rite Aid  
Hdqtrs.*

Scott E. Perwin  
Lauren C. Ravkind  
Anna T. Neill  
KENNY NACHWALTER P.A.  
Four Seasons Tower, Suite 1100  
Miami, FL 33131  
(305) 373-1000  
sperwin@knpa.com  
lravkind@knpa.com  
aneill@knpa.com

*Counsel for Plaintiffs Walgreen Corp. Co., The Kroger Co., Albertsons  
Companies, Inc., H-E-B, L.P., and Hy- Vee, Inc.*

Barry L. Refsin  
Eric L. Bloom  
HANGLEY ARONCHICK SEGAL  
PUDLIN & SCHILLER  
One Logan Square, 27th Floor  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
(215) 568-6200  
brefsin@hangle.com  
ebloom@hangle.com

*Counsel for Plaintiffs CVS Pharmacy, Inc., Rite Aid Corp. and Rite Aid Hdqtrs.  
Corp.*

DATED: October 7, 2024

/s/ Daniel M. Silver  
Daniel M. Silver (#4758)